

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ON

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,505	÷	02/28/2002	Rama R. Goruganthu	AMDA.521PA	2145
	7590	12/31/2003	•	EXAM	INER
Crawford P	LLC		GEYER, SCOTT B		
Suite 390 1270 Northla	and Dri	ve		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Paul, MN 55120				2829	
			DATE MAIL FD: 12/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

nada tanan - Karamatan sa arang sa aran	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,505 Examiner	GORUGANTHU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary			
	Scott B. Geyer	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication riod for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **tatus**	ON. FIR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 October 2003.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	llowance except for formal mander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.		
application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	but the Europiana	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	_ accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be neig in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.00(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the drawin	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
	the Examiner. Note the attach	Su Office Action of Terms 7.5	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
Acknowledgment is made of a dealing a limit in a lin	uments have been received.	Application No	
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C the first sentence of the specif	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ication or in an Application Data She	
a) The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has	been received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de reference was included in the first sentence.	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C se of the specification or in an <i>i</i>	2. 99 120 and/or 121 since a specific Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·, <u> </u>	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-13) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

The restriction requirement presented in the previous office action is withdrawn; a new restriction requirement is established as set forth below:

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention; the applicant is required to choose *one* of the following two groups for prosecution:

- Method/system for analyzing a semiconductor die <u>without</u> repairing the tested die.
- Method/system for analyzing and repairing a semiconductor die with repairing of a defective die (and including retesting of the repaired die).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 2829

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5866 (after January 14th, 2004, the examiner can be reached at 571-272-1958). The

Application/Control Number: 10/086,505

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. E-mail: scott.geyer@uspto.gov

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

S.B.G. 12/29/03

SBG December 29, 2003 SUPERVISORY FOR

VECKNOLOGY CENTER OF